BY MR. SCHMIDT:

Q Mr. Brooks, would you mind
introducing yourself to the Judge just by
giving your name and your current position?

A Yes. My name is Tim Brooks, and I'm a Consultant on among other things audience research matters.

Q Would you mind telling the Judge what audience research is?

A That is the use of generally third-party data compiled by independent companies like Neilsen, the use of that data to determine what the size and nature of audience to different networks and programs is, and how that data can be used to facilitate their businesses.

Q What usage do the networks make of audience research?

A They make several. In the first instance, they will use ratings data to quantify the size and nature of, basically, their audience, and to maximize the

attractiveness of their audience to
advertisers by their choice of programs, by
their choice of scheduling, that sort of
thing. Then they will use that data to sell.
What advertisers want to buy is audiences, and
that's documented by audience ratings.

Q Have you been asked to give an opinion in this case based on your -- as an expert witness based on your experience in audience research?

A Yes, I have.

1.5

MR. SCHMIDT: What I'd like to do, if I may approach, Your Honor, is give you a copy of your direct testimony in this case.

JUDGE SIPPEL: That will be fine.

MR. SCHMIDT: Thank you.

THE WITNESS: Thank you.

BY MR. SCHMIDT:

Q I put in front of you, Mr. Brooks, what has been marked for identification as

Tennis Channel Exhibit 17. It has not yet been moved into evidence. I'll do that in a

1 moment.

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

Before I do, is what I've marked as Tennis Channel Exhibit 17 a copy of your direct testimony given under oath along with an attachment that is your CV at the end of it?

7 A Yes.

(WHEREUPON, THE AFOREMENTIONED

DOCUMENT WAS MARKED TENNIS CHANNEL

EXHIBIT 17 FOR IDENTIFICATION)

BY MR. SCHMIDT:

Q And do you give the opinions rendered in Exhibit 17 to a reasonable degree of professional certainty?

A Yes, I can.

MR. SCHMIDT: Okay. Your Honor, we would move this into evidence at this time.

MR. TOSCANO: No objection.

JUDGE SIPPEL: No objection. It's

in evidence as Tennis Channel Exhibit 17.

21 (WHEREUPON, THE DOCUMENT

22 PREVIOUSLY MARKED TENNIS CHANNEL

:	Danie (0)
1	Page 695 EXHIBIT 17 FOR IDENTIFICATION WAS
2	
۷	RECEIVED.)
3	MR. SCHMIDT: Thank you, Your
4	Honor.
5	JUDGE SIPPEL: Continue.
6	BY MR. SCHMIDT:
7	Q What I'd like to do, very briefly,
8	is talk a little bit about your experience.
9	And the place to start maybe with your CV on
10	page 36 of Exhibit 17.
11	JUDGE SIPPEL: What is does that
12	stand for, Curriculum Vitae?
13	MR. SCHMIDT: Yes, sir. Fancy
14	word for resume
15	JUDGE SIPPEL: Okay. I want to
16	learn the Latin words
17	(Laughter.)
18	BY MR. SCHMIDT:
19	Q Is this a copy of your CV, Mr.
20	Brooks?
21	A Yes, it is.
22	Q Tell us, if you would, how long

it's been that you've been devoting your career to questions of audience ratings in the television industry?

A It's been a principal part of my career for 41 years.

Q Has that time been spent at various television networks?

A Yes, it has.

Q Would you mind telling us some of those networks?

A Most recently, Lifetime Television Networks from 2000 to 2007. Prior to that, USA Networks and the SciFi Channel during the 1990s. Prior to that, at a major advertising agency for a couple of years, so I've been on the buying side, as well. And prior to that, mostly at NBC during the 1970s and 1980s.

Q And the time you just described, within those various positions how much of your time has been focused on audience research generally speaking?

A Audience research as we've defined

it here has varied from all of my time to, I would say, half to three-quarters.

Q In addition to the work you've done at networks, the paying work you've done, have you also been involved in industry organizations?

A Yes. I made that a very prominent activity in my career.

Q Is that what we see on page 37 of your CV about halfway down the page where it says, "Industry Leadership?"

A That's correct.

Q I don't plan to go through all of these, but let me just ask you about a couple of these. The first one I'll ask you about is the one that appears at the very bottom of page 37, the Advertising Research Foundation. Could you tell us in a sentence just what that is?

A That's an organization whose membership is comprised of advertising agencies, advertisers, and network

representatives who are researchers who come together for the point of common concerns in research matters.

- Q What was your highest role?
- A I was the Chairman of the Board.
- Q Let's turn the page to 38. What's the Media Rating Council?

A That's the watchdog agency for the television industry measurement business. It, again, is made up of buyers and sellers of advertising time. And they come together to literally audit, and then as appropriate accredit the major ratings agencies, such as Neilsen and others.

- Q What was your highest role there?
- A I was the Chairman of the Board of that, also.
 - Q The next item is Cable Television

 Advertising Bureau. Could you tell us in a

 sentence what that is?
- A That's a trade organization made up of cable networks and others in the cable

field. It also has research committees, and it promotes the cable industry.

Q And then let me skip over one, the Cable and Telecommunications Association for Marketing. What is that?

A Commonly known as CTAM. That's a marketing association for the cable industry where representatives of both cable networks, and also cable distributors, including Comcast and many others come together on matters of common concern, including research.

Q What was your highest role at CTAM?

A I was a member of their National Board, and Chairman of their Research Committee for several terms.

Q One of those items on your CV about two-thirds of the way down page 38, you have a list of honors and awards. I don't want to go through all of those. I just want to ask you about the first one, the Advertising Research Foundation Lifetime

Achievement Award. What was that for?

2.0

A That was, I'm very humble to say, presented to me in 2008 from the ARF as I believe their first Lifetime Achievement Award.

Q If we look on the next page, 39, there's a list of selected publications and speeches. Again, I just want to ask you about the first publication. Could you tell us what the Complete Directory to Prime Time Network and Cable TV Shows is?

A Yes. This was the first of my books. It's been out for 30 years now in new editions. It is an encyclopedia of all series programming on broadcast and cable networks, believe it or not, that has aired since television began in the 1940s.

Q Being an author of that book require you to watch pretty much every television show?

A You'll see my eyes blur from time to time. It requires me to watch virtually

everything on television at least occasionally, including all programming and just about all networks to get a sense of them, yes.

Q In addition to this experience that we've gone through, have you also taught at the university level on audience research questions?

A Yes, I have.

Q One other thing I can't resist asking you about; have you won a Grammy Award?

A Yes.

Q Let me turn to the substance of your opinions earlier in your report. And before I ask you about that specific substance, have you had the opportunity to review documents in this case related to Golf Channel, Tennis Channel, and Versus?

A Yes.

Q In conducting your analysis to reach your opinions in this case reviewing those documents, did you perform the same type

of methodology that you normally use in your audience research work?

A Yes, I did.

Q Well, let me ask you about the substance of your opinions then. And I would direct your attention, if I may, to what I understand to be your first opinion on page 2 of Exhibit 17, Tennis Channel Exhibit 17.

Did you reach opinions on whether Tennis Channel, Golf Channel, and Versus were similarly situated?

A Yes, I did.

Q What was that opinion?

A After reviewing a wide range of data, my conclusion is that they -- those certain networks are indeed similar, and in fact two of them, the Tennis Channel and Golf, are virtually peas in a pod. They're very, very close on all meaningful measures.

In fact, my knowledge of the rest of the cable universe, I would be hard-pressed to find in any sector, news, or movies, or

Q Did you conduct an analysis of the ratings for these three networks?

A I did.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

Q And why did you look at ratings?

A Well, the first thing we wanted to look at is ratings. When asked this question, the first question I would have, and I think most researchers would have is what's the absolute size of the audience? Are these very disparate, or are they in the same range in terms of their overall audience size compared on an equal basis, on an apples-to-apples basis, which we can go into. And my conclusion here is that they are quite similar in the audience size.

Q You have various calculations or analyses of ratings data in your direct testimony. I'd like to show you just one table out of that.

Before I do that, let me ask you a little more about ratings. Are there national ratings, and more specific regional ratings?

A National ratings and local ratings, yes.

Q What's the difference between those two?

A National ratings as published by the Neilsen Company cover the entire U.S., and viewership to nationally distributed networks and programs on a national basis. Local ratings -- and they operate, primarily, for the benefit and are bought by networks, obviously.

Local ratings are, primarily, for the benefit of local television stations, and they measure the viewership in individual markets, 210 markets of the U.S.

- Q Did Tennis Channel have national ratings?
 - A Tennis Channel did not.
- Q Were you surprised by that?
- A No, not at all.
- Q Why is that?
- 18 A I'm sorry?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

- 19 Q Why is that?
- A Well, in my experience, as I
 mentioned, having been involved in the launch
 of the SciFi Channel, Lifetime Movie Network,

startup networks, it was clear in those cases, and in others that I know of, that limited distribution networks; that is, those with less than roughly 40 or 50 million subscribers rarely subscribe to the Neilsen Service. And that's because, first, it's extremely expensive to subscribe to. And, secondly, it gets you very little traction in sales because advertisers, national advertisers won't buy you at that level whether you have ratings or not. So, it was not a surprise at all. In fact, I would have been surprised if it had been otherwise.

Q I'd like to show you one of the tables from your direct testimony. I believe it appears on page 21 of your direct testimony. I modified it by taking out the national ratings data for Golf Channel and Versus, so that it only shows the local ratings.

MR. SCHMIDT: This is a new document that's not yet an exhibit. May I

that way. But these show me as a first look

at the overall audience size of these three networks that they were extremely similar to each other. And, in fact, within hundredths of a rating point of each other.

Q Okay. Let's talk about demographics. Did you compare the demographics between the three networks?

A I did.

Q And you have various charts on this in your testimony. Let me see if I can summarize them just at a very high level. What did you see with respect to income across the three networks in terms of audience demographics?

A The metrics that I examined indicated that all three of those networks skew toward upper income viewers, which I should add in television is a very desirable and hard to reach group.

JUDGE SIPPEL: Which is?

THE WITNESS: Upper income

22 viewers.

Page 710 MR. SCHMIDT: That's not on this 1 2 That's on another -table. 3 JUDGE SIPPEL: Why is that? Why 4 are they hard to reach? 5 THE WITNESS: Because the viewership of television is the most -- lower 6 7 income people. Upper income people watch much 8 less television at any time overall, so if you 9 can find a network that appeals to those, you have an audience that is very desirable for 10 11 many advertisers, especially upscale cars, and 12 property, and credit cards, and things like 13 that, which they can't get on their mainstream 14 programs. 15 JUDGE SIPPEL: Thank you. 16 BY MR. SCHMIDT: 17 Q Did you draw any conclusions about the income data in terms of these three 18 19 networks? 20 Α Yes, in all three cases the

network audiences -- the audiences of these

networks was skewed toward upper income

21

1 viewers.

Q Did you look at the gender composition of these three networks audiences?

A I did.

Q What did you find with respect to that?

A They were all oriented toward male viewers, more so than female viewers.

Q And why, if at all, does that matter to your opinions?

Viewers, men are not as heavy viewers of television as women are. Television is a somewhat female skewed medium overall for a lot of reasons. And, therefore, advertisers who want to reach men don't have the range of choices that advertisers who want to reach women primarily do. So, it is -- it can for a wide range of advertisers, not all, obviously, but many advertisers be an advantage to have a male somewhat -- more male orientation.

Q Does it impact your opinions about whether the networks are similar; at Golf Channel is that about percent male, and Tennis Channel is about percent male?

A No, because when I look at demographics even in more detail than this, I look at categories as opposed to specific numbers. That's the way television is sold. It's sold in ranges, not by medians or specific numbers of that kind.

So, in the case of gender differences, for example, I would look at whether a network is male skewed, is female skewed, or is balanced. It's basically three categories. And I can get into more detail about what the dividing lines between them are, but all three of these, to me, clearly fell within the male skewed type.

- Q Did you consider data on the age of viewers who watch these three networks?
- A Yes, I did look at that.
 - Q What did that data show you?

networks as youth oriented, and child and teen oriented, young adult oriented, sort of mid oriented which is a very big sales area, or older oriented, these all fell in the adult area, first of all, and in the 40 to 50 range, 40 to low 50s range in terms of age demographics. So, they would be strongest in 25 to 54 age bracket, 35 to 64 age bracket, those middle age brackets all three cases.

Q And did that make them similar or dissimilar in your view?

A Similar.

Did you -- let me take a step

back. The Judge was shown yesterday some data

on the median age of viewers for the three

different networks. Do companies typically

use median age in marketing their networks to

advertisers, in your experience?

A No.

Q How do they market themselves in terms of age, in your experience?

A They market themselves by ranges, age ranges which have been very well established for many, many years. So, you market yourself as a network with a strength in the 18 to 49 category, or the 25 to 54 category, whatever your range is. Those ranges have basically been defined by Neilsen, accepted by advertisers over many years, so I look at those kinds of ranges because that's what drives the advertising marketplace.

Q Even if you looked at the median age instead of the ranges, looking only at the median age, do you find these three networks to be dissimilar based on their median ages?

A Well, if I looked at the median ages, if the ages range from -- median ages depending on what you look at, obviously, they vary, range from something from 40 or the low 40s up to the low 50s. And all three of those fall within the 25 to 54, and for that matter 35 to 64 bracket, so they all seem to be concentrating on that same age range.

Q Let's move on to the next type of data that you've looked at. Did you consider viewer satisfaction data, and the general popularity of the sports shown on these three networks versus Golf Channel and Tennis Channel?

A Yes.

Q What did that show you?

A That's a metric that's important, particularly to distributors. And in my work with distribution of startup networks and established ones, we use that a lot.

The data from the Beta Research

Corporation show that two of the three

networks I was asked to look at are measured

by them. That is an optional service that a

company can choose to be measured, or not to

be measured, unlike Neilsen. And those two

were Versus and the Tennis Channel.

In both of those cases, or in those cases the metrics that they have found for the appeal of those networks on a number

of questions, and it was quite similar.

I think you asked also about the sports?

Q Yes, popularity of sports.

A Yes. I did also look at the popularity of the sport. Now, the popularity of the sport is not a predictor of the popularity of the channel, necessarily. So, football is very popular, but that doesn't mean you'll get huge ratings -- I'm sorry. Football is very popular on television. That doesn't mean you have to play football to like it. Participation is much lower.

However, significant changes in participation over time can be a predictor of where a sport is moving. Is it gaining in popularity, or losing popularity? So, that's what I looked at. And it turned out in this case that the popularity of tennis as a sport, as a participatory sport had increased remarkably over the last 10 years; whereas, no other major sport had shown that, and most

were done, including golf. So, that was an indicator of growth in interest in this sport.

Q So, having looked at this data type of program and ratings, demographics, viewer satisfaction, and popularity of the sport, what conclusions did you reach regarding whether Tennis Channel, Golf Channel, and Versus are similarly situated?

A Taking that all into account, I would come to the conclusion, as I said earlier, that they are certainly similar in appeal. And in some cases extremely similar.

Q That takes us all the way up to page 32 of your report, Tennis Channel Exhibit 17. The second opinion you offer is regarding harm. What opinions did you reach regarding whether Tennis Channel was impacted by the amount of carriage that it receives?

A Oh, my conclusion was that it was, clearly, impacted based not only what I saw here, but with my own experience in the industry with new networks, that distribution.